

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/820,188	03/28/2001	Manobjyoti Bordoloi	U 013355-2	3822
75	590 12/10/2001			
William R. Evans Ladas & Parry			EXAMINER	
26 West 61 Stre	• • •		LILLING, HERBERT J	
New York, NY	10023		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	4
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2001	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/820,188	BORDOLOI ET AL.	
emoorisasii Guiiiilary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this commit	HERBERT J LILLING	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a ref. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA ling date of this communication, even if tire	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	his action is non-final		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
Disposition of Claims		7.7, 100 0.0. 275.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5)[_] Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
application Papers	,		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the	Evaminar	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(a) ha hald :	_	
me proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disa	obroved by the Examinat	
a priored; corrected drawings are required in rec	Olv to this Office action	provod by the Examiner.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
iority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)	
Will All Boliffe C) None of:		() (-) -/ (-).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applic	cation No.	
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priori application from the International Bure	ty documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage	
4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 LLC O. 6.44	ivea.	
or a claim to domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 88 1	eceived. 20 and/or 121	
Chment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		40 anu/01 121.	

Art Unit: 1651

- 1. Claims 1-.10 are pending in this application.
- 2. A substitute specification including the claims and Figures are required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because the fax copy is not completely legible.

A substitute specification filed under 37 CFR 1.125(a) must only contain subject matter from the original specification and any previously entered amendment under 37 CFR 1.121. If the substitute specification contains additional subject matter not of record, the substitute specification must be filed under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and must be accompanied by: 1) a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter; and 2) a marked-up copy showing the amendments to be made via the substitute specification relative to the specification at the time the substitute specification is filed.

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention with respect to the microorganism per se, see the following paragraphs.

It is apparent that the strain Bacillus mycoides RLJ B-107 is required to practice the claimed invention(s) as recited in the claims. As a required element it must be known and readily available to the public or obtainable by a repeatable method set forth in the specification. If it is not so obtainable or available, the enablement

requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, may be satisfied by a deposit of the specific microorganism. See 37 C. F. R. 1.802.

The specification does not provide a repeatable method for obtaining the microorganism and it does not appear to be a readily available material. Deposit of would satisfy the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112. If a deposit has been made, Applicant is required to meet the necessary criteria of the deposit rules in accordance with 37 CFR 1.801-37 CFR 1.809.

If a deposit has not been supplied or made under the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty and that all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent, would satisfy the deposit requirements, See 37 CFR 1.808.

If a deposit is not made under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, then an affidavit or declaration by Applicants or someone associated with the patent owner who is in a position to make such assurances, or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature, stating that the deposit has been made at an acceptable depository and that the following criteria have been met:

- a) during the pendency of the application, access to the deposit will be afforded to one determined by the Commissioner to be entitled thereto;
- b) all restrictions imposed by the depositor on the availability to the public of the deposited material will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent;
- c) the deposit will be maintained for a term of at least thirty (30) years and at least five (5) years after the most recent request for the furnishing of a sample of the deposited material;
- provisions of 37 CFR 1.807; d) a viability statement in accordance with the

and

e) the deposit will be replaced should it become necessary due to inviability, contamination or loss of capability to function n the manner described in the specification.

In addition, the identifying information set forth in 37 CFR 1.809(d) should be added to the specification, See 37 CFR 1.803-37 CFR 1.809 for additional explanations of these requirements.

Art Unit: 1651

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claims 1 and 6 (improper Markush grouping) recites the broad recitation sources from "carbon source" and the claim also recites "carbon source selected from sucrose...." which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

The claimed language is an improper Markush grouping. Acceptable language will be ---selected from the group consisting of....-for both Claims 1 and 6.

In claims 1, 5, 9 and 10, there is no antecedent basis for the term structure 1.

In claims 2-4, what is the scope of the expression "with pH 7.2" in the claimed process?

- 5. No anticipatory art has been found.
- 6. No Claim is allowed.
- 7. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is (703) 308-2034 and fax number is (703) 308-4242 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is (703) 308-4743. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

H.J.Lilling: HJL (703) 308-2034 Art Unit 1651 December 09, 2001

> Herbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

Herbert J. Silling